IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

FRIDAY, THE 7TH DECEMBER 2007 / 16TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 34215 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

JAYA BALAKRISHNAN,
SON OF SURULIAMMAL AND PERIYASWAMI NADAR,
AGE 50 YEARS, KULALAR STREET,
CUMBUM, TAMIL NADU.

BY ADV. SRI.T.H.ABDUL AZEEZ

RESPONDENT:

THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
IDUKKI DISTRICT,
COLLECTORATE, IDUKKI.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 34215 OF 2007 W
==========

Dated this the 7th day of December, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P2 dated 30/4/1999 is an application made by the petitioner requesting for an enquiry and necessary action on the allegation that the property belonging to him has been fraudulently got mutated by strangers. In order to prove that this application has been received by the respondent and that the same is pending, petitioner is referring to Exts. P3 and P4 issued from the office of the respondent on 10/1/03 and 19/2/2003. Petitioner submits that though enquiry as mentioned in Exts.P3 and P4 have been held, final orders on Ext.P2 is yet to be passed. It is in these circumstances, the present writ petition has been filed praying for a direction to the respondent to take up and pass orders on Ext.P2, as expeditiously as possible.

2. As already noticed, Ext.P2 application has been filed by the petitioner seeking an enquiry. Exts. P3 and P4 also shows that follow up action was taken. If that be so, final orders in the matter ought to have been passed.

Therefore, I dispose of this writ petition directing that if

Ext.P2 representation is still pending action without final orders in the office of the respondent, the respondent shall ensure that final orders are passed on the same as expeditiously as possible, within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp